





tomey's Docket No. 5800-49(35800/184745)

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116 - EXPEDITED **PROCEDURE - EXAMINING GROUP 1636**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re:

Meyers

Confirmation No.: 7067

Appl. No.:

09/464,039

Group Art Unit:

1636

Filed:

December 15, 1999

Examiner:

Sumesh Kaushal

For: 21612, NOVEL HUMAN DEHYDROGENASE [TITLE AS AMENDED 11/19/01]

June 21, 2002

RECEIVED

Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

JUN 2 7 2002

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

MENDMENT AFTER FINAL ACTION **PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.116**

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed March 25, 2002, Applicant respectfully requests reexamination and reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the following remarks.

REMARKS

Status of the Claims

Claims 63-67, 77-79, and 87-104 are now pending in the application.

Reexamination and reconsideration of the claims are respectfully requested.

The Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 101 Should be Withdrawn

Claims 63-67, 77-79, and 87-104 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 on the grounds that the claimed invention lacks patentable utility. The rejection is respectfully traversed for the reasons described below.